IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION No. 3782 of 2005

Between:

P.V.R. Sastry S/o. P.V. Krishna Murhty
Indian Bank, Vissannapet, Krishna District. ..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Assistant General Manager (Award Staff) Personnel Department, Indian Bank, 66 Rajaji Salai, Chennai-600 001.
2 The Circle Head, Indian Bank, Bunder Road, Vijayawada.
3 The Circle Head, Indian Bank, Liberty Plaza, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not considering the representation dt. 10-1-2003 made by the petitioner to the 1st respondent which was recommended by respondents 2 & 3 for a transfer to Hyderabad in any Six branches as mentioned in the application and ignoring the same by saying we are unable to consider the request of the employee at present on 25-9-2004, also not considering the representation dt. 2-11-2004 is illegal, arbitrary, contrary to the guidelines given in the circular of Government of India dt. 3-4-1986 and also the various assurances given to the Union in this regard and violative of Article 14 & 19 of the Constitution of India, consequently directing the respondents to transfer the petitioner to Hyderabad in any branch immediately in the interest of Justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr.K.K.CHAKRAVARTHY

Counsel for the Respondents : Mr.S.P.BALA RANI, S.C. for Indian Bank

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the respondents in not considering the representation of the petitioner dated 10.1.2003 made to the first respondent which was recommended by the respondents 2 and 3 for transfer to Hyderabad, the present writ petition is filed.

Having heard the learned counsel for petitioner and on perusal of the record, I am of the view that it is for the first respondent to take an appropriate decision to transfer the petitioner to Hyderabad in accordance with rules governing the service conditions of transfer.

Therefore, without going into the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to take an appropriate decision on the representation made by the petitioner dated 10.1.2003 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

K.C.BHANU, J

Date: 1.3.2005

Note: Issue C.C. by tomorrow.

B/o

DA

To

1 The Assistant General Manager (Award Staff) Personnel Department, Indian Bank, 66 Rajaji Salai, Chennai-600 001.
2 The Circle Head, Indian Bank, Bunder Road, Vijayawada.
3 The Circle Head, Indian Bank, Liberty Plaza, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad.

4 Two CD copies.